

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/908,945	07/19/2001	Akira Wakabayashi	892_019	1922
25191 7	590 12/14/2004		EXAMINER	
BURR & BROWN			BERGIN, JAMES S	
PO BOX 7068				
SYRACUSE, NY 13261-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3641	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/908,945	WAKABAYASHI, AKIRA	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James S. Bergin	3641	( )
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced the substitution of the substitu	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in .
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION.  136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate exthe final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the pR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		,
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	or reconsideration has been con the Continuation Sheet	sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o)  will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. Other:			

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/908,945

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments presented therein have failed to overcome the prior art rejections of claims 3, 4, 5 and 10 as per the final rejection mailed 8/09/2004. That action was properly made final because the amendments to claims 3, 4 and 6 added substantial new limitations to the claims that required the examiner to abandon the original rejection in view of Fisher et al. and make the new grounds of rejection of record in the case. Hence the rejection mailed 8/09/2004 was properly made final...

MICHAEL J. CARONE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

2